

Item 9a.2: Background and rationale - Extrapolation

**Describe the potential for extrapolation from other paediatric populations or adult data, or why extrapolation is not considered appropriate**

<b>Administrative information</b>	1a.1	Title and structured summary
<b>Open science</b>	6.1	Data sharing
<b>Introduction</b>	9a.1	Background and rationale <i>Prevalence/incidence</i>
	9a.2	Background and rationale <i>Extrapolation</i>
	9a.3	Background and rationale <i>Research question or aim</i>
<b>Methods</b>	13.1	Trial setting
	14a.1	Eligibility criteria
	15a.1	Intervention and comparator <i>Dose/formulation</i>
	15a.2	Intervention and comparator <i>Adaptations</i>
	15a.3	Intervention and comparator <i>Intervention delivery</i>
	16.1	Outcomes
	17.1	Harms <i>Mitigation measures</i>
	17.2	Harms <i>Efforts to reduce risk</i>
	20.1	Recruitment <i>Impact of trial participation</i>
	20.2	Recruitment <i>Recognition for trial participation</i>
<b>Ethics</b>	32a.1	Consent or assent
	34.1	Ancillary and post-trial care

**Key elements for reporting this item:**

- ✓ • Whether the disease, interventions, and outcomes in the eligible age groups of children/adolescents are comparable to those in adults or other paediatric populations
- ✓ • From which data extrapolation is done and for what trial design element extrapolated data are used
- ✓ • If extrapolation is done for the initial dose, method of establishment
- ◀ • Whether extrapolation is being done for efficacy and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamics, effectiveness, and/or harms data
- Statistical approach to trial modelling and simulation
- Reason why extrapolation is or is not considered appropriate.

**Examples:**

*“The design of the DOUBLE PRO-TECT Alport trial is based on observational data from the European Alport Therapy Registry (NCT02378805). This multicentre, observational, retrospective, and in parts prospective, registry in participants living with [Alport syndrome] AS showed a less pronounced long-term response to [sodium-glucose co-transporter 2] SGLT2 inhibitors in terms of a decrease in [urinary albumin:creatinine ratio] UACR than the DAPA-CKD trial<sup>[reference]</sup> . . . While the data did not allow recommendation of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients living with AS with early stages of [chronic kidney disease] CKD (stages 1 or 2) and in children<sup>[reference]</sup>, it did provide the rationale that early initiation of SGLT2 inhibitors in young patients with AS may be beneficial . . . The treatment intervention in the DOUBLE PRO-TECT Alport trial mimics the intervention scheme of the DAPA-CKD and EMPA-KIDNEY<sup>[reference]</sup> trials with the same dosing of dapagliflozin/placebo 10 mg by mouth once a day. This similarity is important, because it allows later extrapolation of the results from the DOUBLE PRO-TECT Alport trial to the results from the larger DAPA-CKD and EMPA-KIDNEY trials.”*

Gross O, Boeckhaus J, Weber LT, et al; study group of the German Society of Pediatric Nephrology. Protocol and rationale for a randomized controlled SGLT2 inhibitor trial in paediatric and young adult populations with chronic kidney disease: DOUBLE PRO-TECT Alport. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2025;40:679-87. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfae180.

See the [E&E](#) for more examples.