

Item 2.5: Eligibility criteria

Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review.

Title	2.1	Title
Open Science	2.2	Funding
	2.3	Registration
Background	2.4	Objectives
Methods	2.5	Eligibility criteria
	2.6	Information sources
	2.7	Risk of bias
	2.8	Measurement properties
	2.9	Synthesis methods
Results	2.10	Included studies
	2.11	Synthesis of results
Discussion	2.12	Limitations of evidence
	2.13	Interpretation



Tips for reporting this item:

- Briefly specify the main study characteristics used to decide whether a study was eligible for inclusion in the review, which can include the outcome domain, population, name/type of OMI, and/or measurement properties of interest, and other characteristics, such as eligible study design(s) and setting(s).

Examples:

“Eligible studies were peer-reviewed English language publications that sampled a population of children with mean age between 5 and 12 years and focused on developing and evaluating at least one psychometric property of a teacher proxy-report instrument for assessing one or more of the 30 APLF [Australian Physical Literacy Framework] elements.”

Essiet IA et al. A systematic review of tools designed for teacher proxy-report of children’s physical literacy or constituting elements. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.*, 2021;18(1):1-48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-021-01162-3>.

“Studies reporting on the development and/or validation of any PROMs [patient-reported outcome measures] for uncomplicated UTIs [urinary tract infections] in women were considered eligible.”

Piontek K et al. Patient-reported outcome measures for uncomplicated urinary tract infections in women: a systematic review. *Qual Life Res*, 2023;32(8):2137-2153. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-023-03358-5>.

“Studies on development of the LEFS and/or the evaluation of one or more measurement properties of the LEFS in patients with lower extremity fractures were included [...]”

Ratter J et al. Content validity and measurement properties of the Lower Extremity Functional Scale in patients with fractures of the lower extremities: a systematic review. *Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes*, 2022;6(1):1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-022-00417-2>.

From: Elsmann EBM, Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Beaton D, Gagnier JJ, Tricco AC, et al. Guideline for reporting systematic reviews of outcome measurement instruments (OMIs): PRISMA-COSMIN for OMIs 2024. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2024.111422>.

More resources are available at www.prisma-cosmin.ca.