

Item 22b: Study selection – Excluded reports with reasons

Cite study reports that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.

Title	1	Title
Abstract	2	See tip sheets for Abstracts
Summary	3	Plain language summary
Open Science	4	Registration and protocol <i>a. Registration information</i> <i>b. Accession of protocol</i> <i>c. Protocol amendments</i>
	5	Support
	6	Competing interests
	7	Availability of data and other materials
Introduction	8	Rationale
	9	Objectives
Methods	10	Followed guidelines
	11	Eligibility criteria
	12	Information sources
	13	Search strategy
	14	Selection process
	15	Data collection process
	16	Data items
	17	Study risk of bias assessment
	18	Measurement properties
	19	Synthesis methods <i>a. Eligibility processes</i> <i>b. Methods for synthesis</i> <i>c. Causes of inconsistency</i> <i>d. Sensitivity analyses</i>
	20	Certainty assessment
Results	21	Formulating recommendations
	22	Study selection <i>a. Results of search and selection</i> <i>b. Excluded reports with reasons</i>
	23	OMI characteristics <i>a. Characteristics of OMIs</i> <i>b. Interpretability aspects of OMIs</i> <i>c. Feasibility aspects of OMIs</i>
	24	Study characteristics
	25	Risk of bias in studies
	26	Results of individual studies
	27	Results of syntheses <i>a. Results of syntheses conducted</i> <i>b. Results of causes of inconsistency</i> <i>c. Results of sensitivity analyses</i>
	28	Certainty of evidence
	29	Recommendations
	Discussion	30

Tips for reporting this item:

- List study reports that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded in the report or in an online supplement.

Examples:

“The excluded full texts and the reasons for exclusion according to the inclusion criteria or the critical appraisal are listed in Appendix II.”

The E&E contains an abridged version of Appendix II.

Kipfer S & Pihet S. Reliability, validity and relevance of needs assessment instruments for informal dementia caregivers: a psychometric systematic review. *JBI evid. synth.*, 2020;18(4):704. <https://doi.org/10.11124/JBISRIIR-2017-003976>.

“Excluded studies and the reasons for their exclusion are provided in Appendix S3.”

The E&E contains an abridged version of Appendix S3.

Baamer RM et al. The utility of unidimensional and functional pain assessment tools in adult postoperative patients: a systematic review. *Br J Anaesth*, 2022;128(5):874-888. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2021.11.032>.

“Excluded full text articles are listed in S4 Table.”

The E&E contains an abridged version of S4 Table.

Mihaljevic AL et al. Measuring patient centeredness with German language Patient-Reported Experience Measures (PREM)-A systematic review and qualitative analysis according to COSMIN. *PLoS One*, 2022;17(11):e0264045. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264045>.

“[...] (see Appendix III for excluded studies with exclusion reasons).”

The E&E contains an abridged version of Appendix III.

Halvorsen MB et al. General measurement tools for assessing mental health problems among children and adolescents with an intellectual disability: a systematic review. *J Autism Dev Disord*, 2023;53(1):132-204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-05419-5>.

From: Elsmann EBM, Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Beaton D, Gagnier JJ, Tricco AC, et al. Guideline for reporting systematic reviews of outcome measurement instruments (OMIs): PRISMA-COSMIN for OMIs 2024. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2024.111422>.

More resources are available at www.prisma-cosmin.ca.