

Item 1: Title

Identify the report as a systematic review and include as applicable the following (in any order): outcome domain of interest, population of interest, name/type of OMI of interest, and measurement properties of interest.

Title	1	Title
Abstract	2	See tip sheets for Abstracts
Summary	3	Plain language summary
Open Science	4	Registration and protocol a. Registration information b. Accession of protocol c. Protocol amendments
	5	Support
	6	Competing interests
	7	Availability of data and other materials
Introduction	8	Rationale
	9	Objectives
Methods	10	Followed guidelines
	11	Eligibility criteria
	12	Information sources
	13	Search strategy
	14	Selection process
	15	Data collection process
	16	Data items
	17	Study risk of bias assessment
	18	Measurement properties
	19	Synthesis methods a. Eligibility processes b. Methods for synthesis c. Causes of inconsistency d. Sensitivity analyses
	20	Certainty assessment
Results	21	Formulating recommendations
	22	Study selection a. Results of search and selection b. Excluded reports with reasons
	23	OMI characteristics a. Characteristics of OMIs b. Interpretability aspects of OMIs c. Feasibility aspects of OMIs
	24	Study characteristics
	25	Risk of bias in studies
	26	Results of individual studies
	27	Results of syntheses a. Results of syntheses conducted b. Results of causes of inconsistency c. Results of sensitivity analyses
	28	Certainty of evidence
	29	Recommendations
Discussion	30	Discussion a. Interpretation of results b. Limitations of evidence c. Limitations of review processes d. Implications



Tips for reporting this item:

- Identify the report as a systematic review in the title.
- Report an informative title that provides key information about the main objective or question that the review addresses, for example with respect to the outcome domain of interest, population of interest, name/type of OMI of interest, and/or measurement properties of interest (which can also be referred to as the quality of the OMIs).

Examples:

“Systematic review on the measurement properties of diabetes-specific patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) for measuring physical functioning in people with type 2 diabetes”

Elsman EBM et al. Systematic review on the measurement properties of diabetes-specific patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) for measuring physical functioning in people with type 2 diabetes. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res. Care*, 2022;10(3):e002729. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2021-002729>.

“Content Validity of Patient-Reported Outcome Measures Developed for Assessing Health-Related Quality of Life in People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: a Systematic Review”

Terwee CB et al. Content Validity of Patient-Reported Outcome Measures Developed for Assessing Health-Related Quality of Life in People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: a Systematic Review. *Curr. Diab. Rep.*, 2022;22(9):405-421. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-022-01482-z>.

“A systematic review of the measurement properties of the Body Image Scale (BIS) in cancer patients”

Melissant HC et al. A systematic review of the measurement properties of the Body Image Scale (BIS) in cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer*, 2018;26:1715-1726. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-018-4145-x>.

From: Elsman EBM, Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Beaton D, Gagnier JJ, Tricco AC, et al. Guideline for reporting systematic reviews of outcome measurement instruments (OMIs): PRISMA-COSMIN for OMIs 2024. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2024.111422>.

More resources are available at www.prisma-cosmin.ca.