

Item 10: Followed guidelines

Specify, with references, the methodology and/or guidelines used to conduct the systematic review.

Title	1	Title
Abstract	2	See tip sheets for Abstracts
Summary	3	Plain language summary
Open Science	4	Registration and protocol <i>a. Registration information</i> <i>b. Accession of protocol</i> <i>c. Protocol amendments</i>
	5	Support
	6	Competing interests
	7	Availability of data and other materials
Introduction	8	Rationale
	9	Objectives
Methods	10	Followed guidelines
	11	Eligibility criteria
	12	Information sources
	13	Search strategy
	14	Selection process
	15	Data collection process
	16	Data items
	17	Study risk of bias assessment
	18	Measurement properties
	19	Synthesis methods <i>a. Eligibility processes</i> <i>b. Methods for synthesis</i> <i>c. Causes of inconsistency</i> <i>d. Sensitivity analyses</i>
	20	Certainty assessment
21	Formulating recommendations	
Results	22	Study selection <i>a. Results of search and selection</i> <i>b. Excluded reports with reasons</i>
	23	OMI characteristics <i>a. Characteristics of OMIs</i> <i>b. Interpretability aspects of OMIs</i> <i>c. Feasibility aspects of OMIs</i>
	24	Study characteristics
	25	Risk of bias in studies
	26	Results of individual studies
	27	Results of syntheses <i>a. Results of syntheses conducted</i> <i>b. Results of causes of inconsistency</i> <i>c. Results of sensitivity analyses</i>
	28	Certainty of evidence
29	Recommendations	
Discussion	30	Discussion <i>a. Interpretation of results</i> <i>b. Limitations of evidence</i> <i>c. Limitations of review processes</i> <i>d. Implications</i>



Tips for reporting this item:

- Provide an explicit statement of the methodology and/or guidelines used to conduct the systematic review.
- Provide a citation for each (version of the) methodology/and or guidelines used.

Examples:

“In conducting this systematic review, the updated Consensus-based Standards for selection of health Measurement Instruments (COSMIN) methodology for systematic reviews of PROMs was used [references provided to the COSMIN guideline for systematic reviews of PROMs, the COSMIN risk of bias checklist, and the COSMIN methodology for content validity].”

Kalle J et al. Quality of patient-and proxy-reported outcomes for children with impairment of the upper extremity: a systematic review using the COSMIN methodology. *Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes*, 2022;6(1):1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-022-00469-4>.

“Physical function was the first core outcome domain for which candidate instruments were evaluated through the OMERACT Filter 2.1 using the OMERACT Instrument Selection Workbook templates [references provided to the OMERACT Filter 2.1, the elaboration of the OMERACT Filter 2.1, and the OMERACT Handbook].”

Kroon FP et al. Core outcome measurement instrument selection for physical function in hand osteoarthritis using the OMERACT Filter 2.1 process. *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.*, 2021;1311-1319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2021.08.014>.

From: Elsmann EBM, Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Beaton D, Gagnier JJ, Tricco AC, et al. Guideline for reporting systematic reviews of outcome measurement instruments (OMIs): PRISMA-COSMIN for OMIs 2024. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2024.111422>.

More resources are available at www.prisma-cosmin.ca.